CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1	1.	A method for mirroring data between a plurality of sites, comprising:
2		establishing a replication relationship between the plurality of sites including a first
3		site and a second site;
4		replicating at least some changes made at any site of said plurality of sites at each
5		other site of said plurality of sites;
6		a first server associated with the first site requesting performance of a write operation;
7		in response to said request, performing the write operation at the first site, and
8		sending a message to request that the write operation be mirrored at the
9		second site;
10		the first server receiving an indication that the write operation could not be
11		successfully performed at the second site;
12		in response to the indication, the first server initiating a membership voting operation
13		to determine which of the first server and a second server associated with the
14		second site should be removed from said replication membership; and
15		if it is determined that the second server associated with the second site should be
16		removed from said replication membership, then the first server sending a
17		message to indicate that write operations are no longer to be replicated at said
18		second site.
1	2.	The method of Claim 1, further comprising the steps of:
2		the second site rejoining the replication relationship; and

3		in response to said second site rejoining the replication relationship, causing data
4		associated with the second site to be resynchronized with data that resides at
5		the first site, and remounting a database associated with the second site at the
6	•	second site.
1	3.	The method of Claim 1, further comprising the step of:
2		if during the membership voting operation it is determined that the first site should be
3		removed from said replication membership, then informing the second site
4		that data is no longer to be replicated at the first site.
1	4.	The method of Claim 3, wherein the step of informing comprises:
2		at the second site informing a file server associated with the second site or the second
3		server that data is no longer to be replicated at the first site.
1	5.	The method of Claim 1, wherein the determination of which of the first server and the
2		second server associated with the second site should be removed from said replication
3		membership comprises determining which of the first server or the second server is
4		more important or more reliable.
1	6.	The method of Claim 1, further comprising the step of establishing a particular device
2		as a quorum device, and wherein the step of initiating a membership voting operation
3		comprises the steps of:
4		notifying the quorum device that the write operation could not be successfully
5		performed; and
6		the quorum device determining which of the first server and a second server
7		associated with the second site should be removed from said replication
8		membership.

50277-2332 (OID No. 2003-157-01) -33-

1	7.	The method of Claim 6, wherein the quorum device comprises a plurality of mirrored
2		devices, and the step of notifying the quorum device is performed by a primary file
3		server, wherein the primary file server is a file server, associated with one of the
4		plurality of sites, through which all other files servers associated with other sites in
5		the plurality of sites communicate with the quorum device.
1	8.	The method of Claim 1, wherein the indication that the write operation could not be
2		successfully performed at the second site is an input/output error that is received at
3		the first server.
1	9.	The method of Claim 1, further comprising the step of:
2		if a particular site of the plurality of sites becomes inoperable, then initiating recovery
3		of the particular site after it is determined that all messages transmitted from
4		the particular site to each other site of the plurality of sites have been received
5		at their destination.
1	10.	A machine-readable medium carrying one or more sequences of instructions for
2		mirroring data between a plurality of sites, wherein execution of the one or more
3		sequences of instructions by one or more processors causes the one or more
4		processors to perform the steps of:
5		establishing a replication relationship between the plurality of sites including a first
6		site and a second site;
7		replicating at least some changes made at any site of said plurality of sites at each
8		other site of said plurality of sites;
9		a first server associated with the first site requesting performance of a write operation:

10		in response to said request, performing the write operation at the first site, and
11		sending a message to request that the write operation be mirrored at the
12		second site;
13	•	the first server receiving an indication that the write operation could not be
14		successfully performed at the second site;
15		in response to the indication, the first server initiating a membership voting operation
16		to determine which of the first server and a second server associated with the
17		second site should be removed from said replication membership; and
18		if it is determined that the second server associated with the second site should be
19		removed from said replication membership, then the first server sending a
20		message to indicate that write operations are no longer to be replicated at said
21		second site.
1	11.	The machine-readable medium of Claim 10, wherein execution of the one or more
2		sequences of instructions by the one or more processors causes the one or more
3		processors to further perform the steps of:
4		the second site rejoining the replication relationship; and
5		in response to said second site rejoining the replication relationship, causing data
6.		associated with the second site to be resynchronized with data that resides at
7		the first site, and remounting a database associated with the second site at the
8		second site.
1	12.	The machine-readable medium of Claim 10, wherein execution of the one or more
2		sequences of instructions by the one or more processors causes the one or more
3		processors to further perform the step of:

4		if during the membership voting operation it is determined that the first site should be
5		removed from said replication membership, then informing the second site
6		that data is no longer to be replicated at the first site.
1	13.	The machine-readable medium of Claim 12, wherein the step of informing comprises:
2		at the second site informing a file server associated with the second site or the second
3		server that data is no longer to be replicated at the first site.
1	14.	The machine-readable medium of Claim 10, wherein the determination of which of
2		the first server and the second server associated with the second site should be
3		removed from said replication membership comprises determining which of the first
4		server or the second server is more important or more reliable.
1	15.	The machine-readable medium of Claim 10, wherein execution of the one or more
2		sequences of instructions by the one or more processors causes the one or more
3		processors to further perform the step of:
4		establishing a particular device as a quorum device, and
5		wherein the step of initiating a membership voting operation comprises the steps of:
6		notifying the quorum device that the write operation could not be successfully
7		performed; and
8		the quorum device determining which of the first server and a second server
9		associated with the second site should be removed from said
10		replication membership.
1	16.	The machine-readable medium of Claim 15, wherein the quorum device comprises a
2		plurality of mirrored devices, and the step of notifying the quorum device is
3		performed by a primary file server, wherein the primary file server is a file server,
	5007	7 0220

50277-2332 (OID No. 2003-157-01)

4		associated with one of the plurality of sites, through which all other files servers
5		associated with other sites in the plurality of sites communicate with the quorum
6		device.
1	17.	The machine-readable medium of Claim 10, wherein the indication that the write
2		operation could not be successfully performed at the second site is an input/output
3		error that is received at the first server.
1	18.	The machine-readable medium of Claim 10, wherein execution of the one or more
2		sequences of instructions by the one or more processors causes the one or more
3		processors to further perform the step of:
4		if a particular site of the plurality of sites becomes inoperable, then initiating recovery
5		of the particular site after it is determined that all messages transmitted from
6		the particular site to each other site of the plurality of sites have been received
7		at their destination